

● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : <u>10/673460</u>	Examiner : <u>Cottlingham</u>	GAU : <u>2116</u>
From : <u>CA</u>	Location : <u>IDC</u> FMF FDC	Date : <u>2-17-05</u>
Tracking # : <u>6052207</u>		Week Date : <u>12-13-04</u>

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IIFW	<u>12/7/04 & 12/13/04</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: If the Claims are renumbered according to the most recent IIFW (12/13/04), original Claims 18 & 19 will have improper dependencies. Is it OK to use the 12/7/04 IIFW to renumber the Claims? Thank You

(CA)

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: yes use the claims numbered on 12/7/04, these are correct

INITIALS:

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.
REV 10/04